

9100078

CENTRALINATIO SHEATHER DEPLY INDIVIDUALED IN THE CONTRALICATION OF THE CONTRALICATION OF

TO ALL TO WHOM THESE PRESENTS SHALL COME;

Farmers Marketing Corporation

Colhereus. There has been presented to the

Secretary of Agriculture

AN APPLICATION REQUESTING A CERTIFICATE OF PROTECTION FOR AN ALLEGED NOVEL VARIETY OF SEXUALLY REPRODUCED PLANT, THE NAME AND DESCRIPTION OF WHICH ARE CONTAINED IN THE APPLICATION AND EXHIBITS, A COPY OF WHICH IS HEREUNTO ANNEXED AND MADE A PART HEREOF, AND THE VARIOUS REQUIREMENTS OF LAW IN SUCH CASES MADE AND PROVIDED HAVE BEEN COMPLIED WITH, AND THE TITLE THERETO IS, FROM THE RECORDS OF THE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE, IN THE APPLICANT(S) INDICATED IN THE SAID COPY, AND WHEREAS, UPON DUE EXAMINATION MADE, THE SAID APPLICANT(S) IS (ARE) ADJUDGED TO BE ENTITLED TO A CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION UNDER THE LAW.

NOW, therefore, this certificate of plant variety protection is to grant unto the said applicant(s) and the successors, heirs or assigns of the said applicant(s) for the term of eighteen years from the date of this grant, subject to the payment of the required fees and periodic replenishment of viable basic seed of the variety in a public repository as provided by LAW, the right to exclude others from selling the variety, or offering it for sale, or reproducing it, importing it, or exporting it, or using it in producing a hybrid or different nety therefrom, to the extent provided by the Plant Variety Protection Act.

UNITED STATES SEED OF THIS VARIETY (1) SHALL BE SOLD BY VARIETY NAME ONLY AS OF CERTIFIED SEED AND (2) SHALL CONFORM TO THE NUMBER OF GENERATIONS BY THE OWNER OF THE RIGHTS. (84 STAT. 1542, AS AMENDED, 7 U.S.C. 2321 ET SEQ.)

WHEAT

'Poco Red'

In Testimony Winercot, I have hereunto set my hand and caused the seal of the Plant Taxisty Protection Office to be affixed at the City of Washington, D.C.

this 31st day of August in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and ninety-four.

Allast

Kenneth Hevan

Commissioner

Plant Variety Protection Office Agricultural Marketing Service

Milw EST) Secretary of Agriculture

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTU		FORM APPROVED: OMB NO. 0581-0055
AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERV	/ICE	Application is required in order to determine
APPLICATION FOR PLANT VARIETY PROTECT	CTION CERTIFICATE	if a plant variety protection certificate is to be issued (7 U.S.C. 2421). Information is
(Instructions on reverse)	CHON CENTIFICATE	held confidential until certificate is issued (7 U.S.C. 2426).
1. NAME OF APPLICANT(S)	2. TEMPORARY DESIGNATION	3. VARIETY NAME ANA
Farmers Marketing Corporation	BR5738	Paco Red 30 Ang 1994
4. ADDRESS (Street and No. or R.F.D. No., City, State, and Zip Code)	5. PHONE (Include area code)	FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY
P.O. Box 60578, Phx., AZ 85082-0578		PVPO NUMBER
5236 S. 40th St., Phx., AZ 85040	(602) 437–4058	9100078
6. GENUS AND SPECIES NAME 7 FAMILY NAM		DATE IDATE
	ME (Botanica)	
Triticum aestivum Graminea	e in the state of	9 January 17, 1991
8. KIND NAME 9.	DATE OF DETERMINATION	AMOUNT FOR FILING
Common Wheat	1989 ANN al	
in the control of the	21 May 1995 Cattle	2 Page 17 1991
10. IF THE APPLICANT NAMED IS NOT A "PERSON," GIVE FORM	OF ORGANIZATION (Corporation.	DATE 17 1991 W AMOUNT FOR CERTIFICATE
partnersnip, association, etc.)		Si \$ 250.00
Corporation		" Privac 11 10917
11 IE INCORPORATED ONE OTHER		ung. 09 17 17
11. IF INCORPORATED, GIVE STATE OF INCORPORATION Arizona		12. DATE OF INCORPORATION
13. NAME AND ADDRESS OF APPLICANT REPRESENTATIVE(S), IF	F ANY, TO SERVE IN THIS APPLIC	ATION AND RECEIVE ALL PAPERS
	K. Thompson	
P.O. Box 60578 P.O.	. Box 60578	
	enix, AZ 8508270578 area	a codel
14. CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX FOR EACH ATTACHMENT SUBMIT		
a. A Exhibit A, Origin and Breeding History of the Variety (See		tection Act.)
b. 🖾 Exhibit B, Novelty Statement.		
c. Exhibit C, Objective Description of Variety (Request form)	from Plant Variety Protection Office	e.)
d. Exhibit D, Additional Description of Variety.		
e. Exhibit E, Statement of the Basis of Applicant's Ownership. 15. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THAT SEED OF THIS VARIE		ONLY AS A CLASS OF CERTIFIED
SEED? (See Section 83(a) of the Plant Variety Protection Act.)	Yes (If "Yes," answer it	proved.
16. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THAT THIS VARIETY BE LIMITED AS TO NUMBER OF GENERATIONS?	17. IF "YES" TO ITEM 16, W BEYOND BREEDER SEE	HICH CLASSES OF PRODUCTION D?
X Yes No	X Foundation	X Registered X Certified
18. DID THE APPLICANT(S) PREVIOUSLY FILE FOR PROTECTION	ON OF THE VARIETY IN THE U.	5.7
		Yes (If "Yes," give date)
		反 No
19. HAS THE VARIETY BEEN RELEASED, OFFERED FOR SALE,	OR MARKETED IN THE U.S. OR	
The training of the property o	on wantered in the o.s. on	Yes (If "Yes," give names
		of countries and dates)
		∑ No
20. The applicant(s) declare(s) that a viable sample of basic seeds plenished upon request in accordance with such regulations a	of this variety will be furnished	with the application and will be re-
The undersigned applicant(s) is (are) the owner(s) of this sexu		ater and halique(s) that the variety is
distinct, uniform, and stable as required in Section 41, and is Variety Protection Act.	entitled to protection under the	provisions of Section 42 of the Plant
Applicant(s) is (are) informed that false representation herein	can jeopardize protection and r	esult in penalties.
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT	J 1	DATE
D USP		1-15-91
lex K Thompson		
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT		DATE
	·	The state of the s

EXHIBIT A

BREEDING HISTORY OF BR5738

BR5738 is a hard red spring milling wheat developed by Farmers Marketing Corporation from a F₂ head selection from a genetic male sterile facilitated recurrent selection population. The population was a long time development of the University of Arizona and released as AZ-MSFRS-86 Quality Enhanced Semi-dwarf Hard Red Spring Wheat Germplasm. A single plant from the F₃ headrow was harvested in Montana and increased in El Centro, California. The F₅ was grown at Yuma, Arizona. Thirty heads were grown in individual rows at Post Falls, Idaho in the summer of 1987. Sixteen were harvested, bulked and increased at Yuma, Arizona in 1988. With seemingly further phenotype segregation, forty-eight heads were grown in individual rows at Yuma in spring of 1989. Thirty-eight were selected as having the same phenotype, bulked and increased at Mt. Vernon, Washington in the summer of 1989 to form the present designated breeder seed.

BR5738 is uniform and stable. Genetic male sterile plants were present and rogued from the foundation seed increase at Roll, Arizona in 1990 at a frequency of 1 in 2,000. Because of seed set on unidentified male sterile plants, male sterility may continue to occur near that level subsequent to head rowing for more complete removal. A segregate taller than BR5738 was rogued at a rate of 1 in 500. A later maturing, green segregate or mixture was present and rogued at a rate of 1 in 1,000.

EXHIBIT B

NOVELTY STATEMENT

BR5738 is most similar to Yecora Rojo in plant type and appearance except for the following differences:

- 1. BBR5738 is 8 cm shorter than Yecora Rojo at maturity.
- 2. BR5738 is less likely to lodge at maturity than Yecora Rojo, rating 1.2 vs 2.1.
- 3. Heading of BR5738 is 3 days later and combine-ready maturity averaged 2 days later.
- 4. Glume shoulders of BR5738 are slightly elevated and Yecora Rojo's are mostly square.
- 5. Beak of BR5738 is typically 10 mm. Beak of Yecora Rojo is usually 20 mm.

In addition the following differences are noted in attached data:

		BR5738	Yecora Rojo
1.	Weight of 1,000 seeds in grams	39.1	43.5
2.	Average protein (10 location years)	13.70%	13.19%
3.	Protein, Pillsbury and Baystate milling	14.73%	13.73%
4.	Loaf volume, Baystate milling	3425 cc	3200 cc

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE LIVESTOCK AND SEED DIVISION BELTSVILLE, MARYLAND 20705

EXHIBIT C

OBJECTIVE DESCRIPTION OF VARIETY

AME OF APPLICANTIS	T (TRITICUM SPP.)
Farmers Marketine Corneration	FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY
DDRESS (Street and No. of R.F.D. No., City, State, and ZIP Cod	P VPO NUMBER
Palo. Box 60578, Phoenix, AZ 85082-055	7 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
5236 S. 40th St., Phoenix, AZ 85040	VARIETY NAME OR TEMPORARY OUSIGNATION
lace the appropriate number that describes the varietal chalace a zero in first box (s-s-0 8 9 or 0 9) when no	BR5738
lace a zero in first box (e.s. 0 8 9 or 0 9) when nu	maracter of this variety in the boxes below. In the boxes below.
TECOMMON 2 DURUM ,3 EMMER 4 = SPELT	S = POLISH 6 = POULARO 7 = CLUB
TYPE	1 = SOFT 3 = OTHER (Squelle)
1 * SPRING 2 * WINTER 3 * OTHER (Specify)	2 1 = SOFT 3 = OTHER (Specify)
1 = WHITE 2 = RED 3 = OTHER (Specify)	
SEASON - NUMBER OF DAYS FROM EMERGENCE TO:	The state of the s
1 1 FIRST FLOWERING	
ATURITY (50% Flowering):	LAST FLOWERING
(3VA Flowering):	
NO. OF DAYS EARLIER THAN	
	1 = ARTHUR 2 = SCOUT 3 = CHRIS
3 NO. OF DAYS LATER THAN	보고 있는 데 보고 있다. 그는 그는 그를 하고 하고 있는 것이 되었다. 그를 하고 있다. 그를 하고 있다. 그를 하고 있다. 그를 하고 있는 그를 하고 있다. 그를 하고 있다. 그를 하고 있다. 그
	●●●● 10、中間(一大は)の といいには、10年、第三年は18年の19年の19年の19年の19年の19年の19年の19年の19年の19年の19
ANT HEIGHT (From sell level to top of head):	7. = Yecora Rojo
7. 3 CM. HIGH	
THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O	
CH TALL OF THE STATE OF THE STA	
CM. TALLER THAN	7 = Yecora Rojo
CM. SHORTER THAN	. 1 71
HT COLOR AT BOOTING (See reverse):	4 = LEMHI 5 = NUGAINES 6 = LEEDS
	7. ANTHER COLOR:
"YELLOW GREEN 2 = GREEN 3 = BLUE GREEN	The state of the s
M:	1=YELLOW 2 = PURPLE
nthocyania: 1 = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT	가 <mark>모델</mark> 다. 그는 사용하 하고 있는 그 것 같은 학생들은
	2 Waxy bloom: 1 = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT
difference of last	
ernode of rachis: = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT	1 Internodes: 1 = HOLLOW 2 = SOLID
	LI TIME TO A TOLLOW 4 = SOLID
NO. OF NODES (Originating from node above ground)	1 4 CM INTERNODE LENGTH BETWEEN FLAG LEAF
CLES	AND LEAF BELOW
The second of th	
hocyania: TE ABSENT 2 PRESENT	A Committee of the second of t
	Hairiness: = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT
e leef at	
e leef at	Hairiness: = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT
e leef as	
g leef at = ERECT 2 = RECURVED Hing stage: 3 = OTHER (Specify):	Hairiaess: # ABSENT 2 = PRESENT
z łeafat	Hairiaess: # ABSENT 2 = PRESENT
g leef at 1 = ERECT 2 = RECURVED ting stage: 3 = OTHER (Specify):	Hairiaess: I = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT

	·			
	11. HEAD:		Shaper FTAPER	ING 2 = STRAP 3 = CLAVATE
	1 Density: l = LAX	2 = DENSE	1 = OTHER	(Specify)
v S	4 Awnedness: 1 = AW	NLESS 2 = APICALLY AWNLETED 3	= AWNLETED 4 = AWNE	
	1	WHITE 2 - YELLOW 3 - PINK 4 -		
:	1 Color at maturity: 5	= BROWN 6 = BLACK 7 = OTHE	R (Specily):	
i. 	1 2 CM. LENGTH		1 4 мм. width	
	1 < 1	TY: (CA. 7 mm.) 2 = MEDIUM (CA. 8 mm.) CA. 9 mm.)	3 Width: 1 = NARROY	
	Shoulder 1 = WANTI	ING 2 = OBLIQUE 3 = ROUNDED 3 = ROUNDED 4 = 5 = ELEVATEO 6 = APICULATE		
•	13. COLEOPTILE COLOR	support such that the property of the part	14. SEEDLING ANTHOCY	ANIN;
٠.	1 1 = WHITE 2 = R	ED 3 = PURPLE	1 1 = ABSENT 2	= PRESENT
•	15. JUVENILE PLANT GR	OWTH HABIT:		
	3) = PROSTRATE	2 = SEMI-ERECT 3 = EREC		
	16. SEED:		and the second s	
	3 Shape: I = OVATE	2 = OVAL 3 = ELLIPTICAL	.	ED 2 = ANGULAR () (Asymptotic)
	2 Brush 1 = SHORT	2 = MEDIUM 3 = LONG	s de la de la companya de la company	DLLARED 2 = COLLARED
	Phenol reaction	1 = IVORY 2 = FAWN 3 = LT. BROWN		The second of th
	(See instructions):	4 = BROWN 5 = BLACK		
	3 Color: 1 = WHITE	2 = AMBER 3 = RED 4 = PURPLE	5 = OTHER (Specily)	the state of the s
	0 6.5mm. LENGTH	0 3 MM. WIDTH	4 1 GM. PER 1000	SEEDS
	17. SEED CREASE:			
	1	ESS OF KERNEL 'WINOKA'	1 1	R LESS OF KERNEL 'SCOUT'
		ESS OF KERNEL 'CHRIS'		LESS OF KERNEL 'CHRIS'
		AS WIDE AS KERNEL 'LEMHI' ted, 1 = Susceptible, 2 = Resistant)		
	STEM RUST	LEAF RUST	0 STRIPE RUST	0 LOOSE SMUT
	(Races)	(Races)		derate field resistance-
	0 POWDERY MILDEW	O BUNT	2 OTHER (Specify) B1	ack Point
	19. INSECT: (0 = Not Team	ed, 1 = Susceptible, 2 = Resistant)		
	0 SAWFLY	O APHID (Bydv.)	0 GREEN BUG	O CEREAL LEAF BEETLE
	0 OTHER (Specity)	HESSIAN FLY	0 GP 0 A	Ов Ос
. :		RACES:		
		ETY MOST CLOSELY RESEMBLES THAT S		NAME OF VARIETY
	CHARACTER	Yecora Rojo	CHARACTER -	Baker
,	Plant-tillering	Yecora Rojo	Seed shape	Yecora Rojo
	Leaf color	Yecora Rojo	Coleoptile elongation	To the second se
b .	Leaf carriage	Yecora Rojo	Seedling pigmentation	Yecora Rojo
	JAN 17 1990	建立 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그		German (mercanisa and de Propinsi de Caralles de Caralles de Caralles de Caralles de Caralles de Caralles de C Caralles de Caralles de Car
ئب ر س	GENERAL: The following ;	publications may be used as a reference aid f	or the standardization of term	s and procedures for completing this form:
3 E	🔑 🛫 (a) L.W. Briggle and	L. P. Reitz, 1963, Classification of Triticu	m opecies and wheat varietie	Alban in the Conten States 140 lines

(a) L.W. Briggle and L. P. Reitz, 1963, Classification of Triticum Species and Wheat Varieties Grown in the United States, Technical Bulletin 1278, United States Department of Agriculture.

(b) W.E. Walls, 1965, A Standardized Phenol Method for Testing Whent Seeds for Varietal Purity, contribution No. 28 to the handbook of seed testing prepared by the Association of Official Seed Analysis. (See attachment.)

EXHIBIT D

ADDITIONAL DESCRIPTION

BR5738 is a early maturing hard red spring milling wheat with very short stiff straw and good standability. Juvenile growth is erect. Heads are tapered, lax, awned and white. Glume shoulders are slightly elevated with accuminate beaks, typically 10 mm long. Seeds are relatively small, elliptical, hard and red. Brush is of medium length and not collared. Leaves are wide, long and a darker green than Yecora Rojo.

Grain test weight is similar to Yecora Rojo but kernal size and weight is less. Heading and maturity of BR5738 are 2 to 3 days later than Yecora Rojo. Because of its shortness, lodging is seldom a problem and the cultivar responds well to fertilizer and water for excellent yields of good quality grain. BR5738 has shown more resistance to shattering and had less black point than Yecora Rojo in California trials. Flour qualities are in general similar to Yecora Rojo. Its excellent loaf volume, higher protein content, greater dough stability and freedom from black point enhance its utility as a bread flour.

BR5738 is adapted to the irrigated areas of Arizona and California and performed well at the University of Idaho Experiment Station at Aberdeen, Idaho.

April 2, 1993

Alan A. Atchley, Plant Variety Examiner Plant Variety Protection Office USDA NAL Bldg., Rm. 500 10301 Baltimore Blvd. Beltsville, MD 20705-2351

Subject: PVP Application No. 9100078, wheat variety BR5738.

Dear Mr. Atchley,

In response to your letter of October 14, 1992 to Royce R. Richardson we, Rex Thompson and Jeff Klingenberg, wish to present the following amendments to the PVP application.

1. Application form

- Item 3 We intend to market the variety under the name, 'Poco Red'.
- Item 9 Date of determination was October 1989.

2. Exhibit A

- a. Criteria used for selection Yield and flour quality for bread making equal to or better than 'Yecora Rojo', and equal or better agronomic acceptability than Yecora Rojo, 'Anza', and 'Yolo'. Selection was based on both quantitative analyzed data, and observational, descriptive data.
- b. Stability and uniformity have been observed for four generations.
- c. Supporting data see Appendix Tables 1 7.
- d. Germplasm source information attached three pages for further clarification on selection criteria.

Probability levels for significant differences are for mean separation by least significant differences at the P=0.05 significance level. All LSD analyses are F-test protected.

Poco Red AAA 21 June 1993

Germplasm Source Information for BR5738

BR5738, a hard red spring bread wheat cultivar, was selected from the genetically broad-based, diverse population, Arizona Male Sterile Facilitated Recurrent Selection:1986(AZ-MSFRS-86). Quality Enhanced Semi-dwarf Hard Red Spring Wheat Germplasm was developed and released by the University of Arizona Agricultural Experiment Station in 1986.

This MSFRS population was developed over a period of 10 years (cycles), and 20 generations. The diverse population was derived by using genetic male sterile genotypes (from 'Siete Cerros'), and practicing MSFRS breeding to combine many common wheat genotypes and products of their hybridization from 1976-1985.

Large numbers (500-1000) of 50% controlled sib crosses and 50% top crosses were made each spring in the F2 population. Sibs, male and female, were selected for agronomic characteristics. Cultivars and lines used for top crosses were selected for yield and flour quality. Established hard red spring cultivars most frequently used in repeated top crosses from years 1981 to 1985 (cycles 6-10) included: 'LEN', JAMES', 'OLAF', 'WALDRON', 'OSLO', 'HERMOSILLO 77', 'PROBRED', 'PROBRAND 711', 'WESTBRED 906R', and 'YECORA ROJO'. To complete each cycle the F1 bulk crossed seed was increased in Montana each summer.

A copy of the University of Arizona Experiment Station, Notice of Release is attached.



3. Exhibit B

4. Novelty Statement

- a. The novelty statement has been re-written with presentation of statistically analyzed data.
- b. BR5738 is most similar to Yecora Rojo in plant type except for the following differences:

A. Descriptor:

Glume beaks (awns) of BR5738 are 9.1 mm long vs 10.3 mm for Yecora Rojo (Appendix Table 1.a). Variance components and mean separations were derived from 60 observations per variety. An additionly paired T-test analysis indicated highly significant $P(t \ge n_t) = 0.001$ differences between BR5738 and Yecora Rojo for glume beak lengths (Appendix Table 1.b).

B. Agronomic:

By observing Appendix Tables 2 nd 3 it is evident that BR5738 produces lower grain yields than Yecora Rojo except in the selection environments ie. Maricopa, Arizona, and Imperial Valley California.

BR5738 has significantly (P=0.05) lower test weight, lower kernel weight, and less yellowberry, than Yecora Rojo (Appendix Table 4).

BR5738 requires significantly (P=0.05) more days to 50% heading than Yecora Rojo (Appendix Table 5).

C. Disease Resistance:

BR5738 is significantly (P=0.05) more susceptible to septoria blotch and less susceptible to black point infection when compared to Yecora Rojo (Table 7).

Exhibit C

Additional differences Poco Red 21 June 1993

5. Differences between BR\$738 and Anza:

BR5738 has lower test weight and yield than Anza (Appendix Table 4).

BR5738 has earlier maturity by 5 to 7 days to 50% heading (Appendix Table 5).

BR5738 is shorter in plant height (Appendix Table 4).

BR5738 shows less **lodging** than Anza when tested over four years at six locations (Appendix Table 4).

BR5738 has less yellow berry (Appendix Table 4), higher protein, and larger loaf volume than Anza (Appendix Table 6).

6. <u>Differences between BR5738 and LEN</u>

Observations and data from Arizona only

BR5738 is light insensitive and Len is light sensitive. Len is much later in maturity (22 days) than BR5738 in Arizona under flood irrigation.

BR5738 consistently and significantly (P=0.05) higher grain yields than did Len under Arizona production conditions (Appendix Table 3).

BR5738 test weights are higher than Len --64 lbs bu⁻¹ vs 60 lbs bu⁻¹ -- (Appendix Table 8).

BR5738 was 14" shorter in **plant height** at maturity than Len--29" vs 43" respectively (Appendix Table 8).

BR5738 has less yellowberry occurrence than Len (Appendix Table 8).

BR5738 has less lodging than Len (0% vs 60%).

BR5738 Flag leaf is recurved, Len flag leaf is not recurved.

1 Pow Rod AAA 21 June 1993

7. <u>Differences between BR5738 and Tadinia</u>

BR5738 is significantly earlier than Tadinia at 50% heading (Appendix Table 5).

BR5738 is significantly earlier to maturity than Tadinia (Appendix Table 5).

BR5738 is significantly taller in plant height than Tadinia (Appendix Table 4).

Flag leaf of BR5738 is green while Tadinia's flag leaf is yellow green.

Quality data analyzed over two years and several combined locations indicated BR5738 as significantly higher in **protein** and **loaf volume** (Appendix Table 6).

BR5738 was found to be significantly more susceptible to **Septoria** than Tadinia (Appendix Table 7).

8. <u>Differences between BR5738 and Spillman</u>

Arizona observations and data only

BR5738 is **light insensitive** and Spillman is light sensitive. Spillman is much later by as much as 25 days to 50% **heading** maturity than BR5738 when grown under Arizona irrigated production.

Spillman has significantly lower grain yield in Arizona (Appendix Table 3).

Spillman test weight was 9 lbs bu⁻¹ less than BR5738.

Spillman was 17" taller in plant height at maturity.

Spillman lodged 80% vs 0% lodging for BR5738.

Flag leaf of BR5738 was recurved, Spillman flag leaf was not recurved.

Farmers Marketing Corporation Amendment to 1992 PVP application for common wheats--'BR5702', and 'BR5738'.

Experimental Design and Statistical Analysis

Data reported on multiple years and locations of California Regional testing, and two year University of Arizona Maricopa Ag. Center.

Standard rating scale for disease, lodging, and yellow berry are as follows:

$$1 = 0-3\%$$
, $2 = 4-14\%$, $3 = 15-29\%$, $4 = 30-49\%$, $5 = 50-69\%$, $6 = 70-84\%$, $7 = 85 - 95\%$, $8 = 96-100\%$ of the response trait.

Analysis of variance components and mean separations were analyzed on one, two and three factor randomized complete block designs. Variance components included years, replications, and treatments (varieties).

Full Model for obtaining appropriate mean squares:

$$\mathbf{Y}_{kij} = \mathbf{\mu} \pm \mathbf{t}_k \pm \mathbf{r}_j \pm \mathbf{a}_{kj} \pm \mathbf{g}_i \pm \mathbf{t} \mathbf{g}_{ki} \pm \mathbf{e}_{kji}$$

Where:

 Y_{kij} = the phenotypic measurement of the trait of the ith individual of the jth location (replication).

 μ = the grand mean of all entries.

 t_k = the effect of the kith block (year), k = 1, 2...,t.

 r_j = the effect of the jth location, j = 1,2...,r.

 a_{kj} = the random environmental effect associated with the jth location in the kth year (error a).

 g_i = the effect of the ith line (variety), i = 1,2,...,g

 tg_{ki} = the random environmental effect associated with the it individual in the kth year (error b).

 e_{kji} = the random effect associated with the it individual at the jth location in the kith year (pooled error c).

Direct variety comparisons were done by the Student's paired observation t'. Results are reported only for the glume beak analysis in the novelty statement.

Data from California Regional Testing were provided to us in the form of mean separations by least significant differences. Therefore, locations each having four replications were appropriately used as replications nested within each year. Although only one genotypic value was reported from each location it was considered the best estimator of that variety. All data were considered normally distributed with adequate buffering for varietal means obtained from locations. For several traits, the increase in locations rather than replication within location was better for determining genotypic performance for the given regions and agronomic practices.

Data from Arizona testing are for single location and two years. Each experimental design was a randomized complete block. Factor analysis for ANOVA estimates were made. F-test protected mean separations by least significant differences are reported for both California regional data and Arizona data.

We do need protection for BR5738 (Poco Red). You have given April 14, 1993 as the deadline. If data presented are insufficient for PVP acceptance, we do request a 120 day extension to process 1993 data and to examine a number of other differention descriptors.

Sincerely,

Rex K. Thompson

Appendix Table 1.a. Mean glume beak measurements among BR5702, BR5738, BR8631, and Yecora Rojo. Means reported were derived from 60 measurements per variety.

Entry	Glume beak length (mm)
BR5702	21.3
BR5738	9.1
BR8631	14.3
Yecora Rojo	10.3
LSD (P=0.05)	1.08
C.V. (%)	20.5

Appendix Table 1.b. T-test[†] for the hypothesis "MEAN of LINE 1 = MEAN of LINE 2" for glume beak lengths among four hard red spring wheat lines. Sixty paired observations per line were made for glume beak lengths.

			BR5702	BR5738	BR8631	Yecora Rojo
		BR5702		-21.1 **	10.6 **	18.7 **
t'	==	BR5738			11.9 **	-3.5 **
		BR8631				9.2 **
•		Yecora Rojo				

 $^{^{\}dagger}$ T-test analysis was based on paired observations (t').

^{**} t' values are significant when $P(t' \ge n_{t'}) = 0.001$.

Appendix Table 2. Mean comparisons for grain yield among six hard red spring wheat varieties entered in the California Regional Trials.

		1990-1992				
Entry	1989-1992 4yr, 6 loc.†	Sac. Valley, 3 yr, 4 loc. ††	San J. Valley 3 yr, 3 loc. †††	Imp. Valley 3 yr, 1 loc [§]	CA Rainfed 3 yr, 1 loc. ^{§§}	
BR5702	6561	6650	6060	9800	3160	
BR5738	5613	5880	5530	8930	2710	
Y. Rojo	6313	6450	5940	9190	3270	
Yolo	6953	6530	6230	8720	2460	
Anza	6660	6470	5780	8700	2500	
Tadinia	6869	6180	5270	7220	1980	
LSD (P=0.05)	267	200	210	740	260	
C.V. %	7.0	7.7	10.8	10.08	13.8	

[†]Four years at six California locations.

^{**}Sacramento Valley locations.

^{†††}San Joaquin Valley locations.

[§]Imperial Valley.

^{§§}Rainfed locations, one location per year. Locations included: San Luis Obispo, and Yolo, CA.

Appendix Table 3. Mean comparisons for lbs ac⁻¹ grain yield among 7 hard red spring wheat varieties for 1991 and 1992 Central Arizona Testing. Trials were conducted at the University of Arizona Maricopa Ag. Center.

		Grain Yield (lbs ac-1)	
Entry	1991	1992	1991-1992 Combined
BR5702	8262	7692	7977
BR5738	8087	7983	8035
Y. Rojo	7926	7004	7465
Yolo	9806	7626	8718
Anza	7336	6327	6831
Len	5870	5260	5565
Spillman	5908	5260	5799
LSD (P=0.05)	1258.0	1123.0	784.5
C.V.%	11.1	11.1	10.8

Appendix Table 4. Mean comparison for agronomic growth parameters among six hard red spring wheat varieties from the California Regional Trials. Analysis reported is from data taken over four years, and six locations.

Entry	Test weight (bu. wt.)	Kernel Wt. g 1000 ⁻¹	Plant Ht. (in.)	Yellow Berry (Std. rating)	lodging (Std. rating)
BR5702	61.7	37.8	36.3	2.1	3.6
BR5738	62	38.6	36.7	3.7	2.5
Y. Rojo	62.7	41.0	35.8	4.4	1.5
Yolo	63	41.7	36.1	3.5	1.8
Anza	62.5	40.2	37.4	4.2	1.2
Tadinia	61.2	38.5	40.1	1.8	1.6
LSD (P=0.05)	.37	.94	.65	.63	.48
C.V.%	1.03	4.13	3.15	38.9	41.8

Appendix Table 5. Mean comparisons for days to first heading and maturity among six varieties in three years at three locations. Data were analyzed from the California Regional Trials.

Days to Heading	Days to Maturity	
61.0	110.2	
62.1	109.7	
59.7	110.8	
66.7	114.7	
67.9	116.8	
66.7	114.5	
.75	2.3	
1.22	1.68	
	61.0 62.1 59.7 66.7 67.9 66.7	

Appendix Table 6. Mean comparisons for hard red spring bread quality traits among six varieties tested in the California Regional Trials.

	P	rotein	Loaf Volume	
Entry	1990 [†]	1991-1992 ^{††}	1989-1992 ^{†††}	
BR5702	13.30	11.68	1240	
BR5738	13.92	12.28	1207	
Y. Rojo	12.90	11.77	1187	
Yolo	11.57	10.77	1152	
Anza	11.71	10.50	943	
Tadinia	12.02	11.07	913	
LSD (P=0.05)	.60	.70	227	
C.V.%	3.2	7.5	24.9	

[†]Samples tested were from four California locations by the California Wheat Commission.

^{††}Two year, six California location samples.

^{†**}Four year, three California location samples.

Appendix Table 7. Mean comparisons based on standard rating scale for disease resistance among six, and 10 hard red spring wheat varieties tested in the California Regional Trials.

*****	Entry	Septoria [†]	Stripe Rust ^{††}	Leaf Rust ^{†††}	BYVD§	Black Point§§
	BR5702	1.20	1.05	2.08	1.35	1.31
	BR5738	2.10	1.13	1.98	1.21	1.00
	Y. Rojo	1,60	1.00	2.02	1.34	1.40
ne Ms	Yolo	1.10	1.00	1.32	1.24	1.00
	Anza	1.20	1.10	1.52	1.24	1.43
	Tadinia	1.00	1.00	1.82	1.26	1,00
	Probred	$\mathbf{M}^{\S\S\S}$	1.50	NI	NI	NI
	QT588	NI	1.90	NI	NI	NI
	UC843	NI	1.60	NI	NI	NI
	BR5450	NI	1.77	NI	NI	NI
	LSD (P=0.05)	.21	.61	.50	NS	.30
	C.V. %	16.1	40.6	21.1	27.6	30.1

[†]Three year, three locations, six variety analysis.

12

^{††}Two year, three locations, 10 variety analysis.

^{†††}One year, 1992, five location, six variety analysis.

[§] Four year, three location, six variety analysis.

^{§§} Four year ,three location, six variety analysis.

^{§§§} Not included in analysis.

Appendix Table 8. Mean comparisons for grain test weight, plant height, and yellowberry rating among six hard red spring wheat varieties grown in the 1992 Maricopa, Arizona yield trial.

	1992									
Entry	Test wt. (bu. wt.)	Plant Height	Yellowberry							
BR5702	64.0	35.7	1							
BR5738	64.0	29.0	1							
Yecora Rojo	64.7	34.7	1.3							
Len	60.0	43.0	2.0							
Yolo	63.5	41.5	4.0							
Spillman	55.0	46.0	2.0							
Mean	61.9	38.3	1.9							
σ_{n-1}	3.8	6.3	1.1							

EXHIBIT E

STATEMENT OF THE BASIS OF APPLICANTS OWNERSHIP

Regular employees of the applicant, Farmers Marketing Corporation have developed BR5738. ALA 30 Mag Mg Farmers Marketing Corporation is the proprietory owner and intended commercial user of the variety.

EXHIBIT F

AGRONOMIC	AND	OUALTTY	DATA
TOTOMOTITO	TIND	COMPTIT	DATA

Agronomi	c da	ıta	_		-		-	~-		-	-	_	-	_		_	_	_		_	-	-	-	Tables	1-7,	pages	1-6
0 . 1																											
Quality (aata	–	-	-		_	_	_	•	_	_	_		_	***	_	_	_	~~	_	-	_	_	Tables	8-11	pages	s 7-

Table 1 Average Yields by Areas for 24 Location Years

	Average	yield in p	ounds per ac	re		
	BR5702	Yecora Rojo		Baker	Yolo	
Northern California 10 location years	5348	5168	5317	5126	5452	
Southern California-West 7 location years	5761	5523	5380	5634	5810	
Southern California-East El Centro - 2 years	8275	7435	7365	7900	7040	
Arizona 5 location years	6638	6599	6886	6542	(6875)	
Overall Averages for 24 Location Years	6002	5759	5843	5676	5946	

Table 2 Test Weights (14 location years)

	Test weight in pounds per bushel		:1		
	BR5702	Yecora Rojo	BR5738	Baker	Yolo
Sacaton, AZ 1988	64.0	65.0	61.5		
Maricopa, AZ 1989	62.0	64.5	63.5	64.5	64.0
Maricopa, AZ 1990	62.0	62.0	64.0	64.0	63.0
U of CA El Centro 1989	62.5	62.5	61.5	63.0	63.0
U of CA El Centro 1990	61.5	61.0	60.3	61.3	61.8
U of CA Davis 1989	61.0	62.3	63.4	62.5	63.9
U of CA Las Banos 1989	63.4	64.5	62.4	63.1	63.3
U of CA Los Banos 1990	62.1	62.5	61.4	61.1	63.2
U of CA Chico 1989	61.2	61.9	61.2	62.1	62.2
U of CA Meridian 1989	62.1	62.1	62.3	62.1	62.8
U of CA Tyler Island 1989	63.0	63.6	63.2	63.4	63.9
U of CA Stratford 1989	61.6	62.8	60.6	61.3	61.8
U of CA Kern Lake 1989	61.7	62.6	60.1	62.6	61.6
U of CA Santa Ynez 1989	60.3	60.0	57.5	60.7	61.0
Average	62.0	62.7	61.6	62.4	62.8

Tab]	Le 3	Seed Weight (17 locatio	n years) Un	iversity of	California	Regional	Trials
			Seed weigh	t in grams	per 1,000 s	eeds	
			BR5702	Yecora	BR5738	Baker	Yolo
				Rojo			
		El Centro 1989	49.5	46.3	41.9	42.5	40.4
U of	CA	Davis 1989	42.2	43.0	41.3	44.7	36.1
		Meridian 1989	46.5	46.6	39.1	43.0	35.6
U of	CA	Chico 1989	46.0	46.0	44.0	46.0	36.0
U of	CA	Tyler Island 1989	47.6	45.6	43.0	46.6	36.8
		Los Banos 1989	48.0	48.2	39.5	45.0	38.5
U of	CA	Stratford 1989	39.1	39.7	33.0	36.7	29.0
		Kern Lake 1989	43.0	46.0	37.0	45.0	35.0
		Santa Ynez 1989	47.6	33.1	31.9	37.3	33.5
U of	CA	El Centro 1990	40.8	40.8	34.7	39.8	32.3
a .		Davis 1990	44.9	44.5	43.1	44.5	34.9
		Meridian 1990	42.2	41.8	39.8	42.4	32.5
		the state of the s	45.4	44.7	41.1	43.5	36.3
		Tyler Island 1990	44.5	45.5	40.0	40.5	35.7
		Los Banos 1990	44.0	41.2	37.8	39.8	31.7
U of	CA	Corcoran 1990	41.1	42.7	37.2	37.9	33.0
U of	CA	Kern Lake 1990	43.7	43.8	39.6	43.9	37.6

43.5

39.1

42.3

35.0

44.5

Average

					and the second second	
Table A	D 1	TT - 2 1 1	/ ^ ^	location		
14U1E 4	riani	HAIGHTE	1 7 1 1	[Acattaan	37 O O 20 O 3	
		***	1 24 0	TOCALIUI	veals	

Table 4 Trait Reights (20		eights in	inches		
	BR5702	Yecora		Baker	Yolo
		Rojo	A Section 1		
Maricopa, AZ 1989	35	33	30		
Maricopa, AZ 1990	32	30	24	29	35
Yuma, AZ 1989	37	36	35		39
U of CA Imperial 1989	30	29	24	30	36
U of CA Davis 1989	33	31	33	3.3	33
U of CA Meridian 1989	38	37	33	36	39
U of CA Chico 1989	35	33	30	33	36
U of CA Tyler Isle 1989	36	33	29	3.5	40
U of CA Los Banos 1989	3.5	33	28	34	39
U of CA Stratford 1989	31	30	25	28	34
U of CA Kern Lake 1989	33	30	27	31	35
U of CA Santa Barbara 1989	29	26	22	27	33
U of CA Imperial 1990	.3 0	29	25	29	36
U of CA Davis 1990	37	3.5	30	34	39
U of CA Stratford 1990	29	28	24	28	34
U of CA Kern Lake 1990	38	37	33	37	43
U of CA Tyler Isle 1990	3.5	33	29	34	37
U of CA Chico 1990	33	3 2	32	33	39
U of CA Meridian 1990	37	38	34	35	43
U of CA Los Banos 1990	33	33	27	30	41
Average, 20 Location Years	33.8	32.2	28.7	32.5	37.4

Table 5 Lodging (18 location years)

	Lodge r	ating based	on percen	t lodged at	maturity*
	BR5702	Yecora	BR5738		Yolo
		Rojo			
Sacaton, AZ 1988	1.0	1.0	1.0		
Maricopa, AZ 1989	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	4.4
Maricopa, AZ 1990	3.5	1.0	1.0	1.2	3.9
Yuma, AZ 1989	1.0	1.2	1.0	1.0	1.5
U of CA El Centro 1989	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	3.5
U of CA Davis 1989	7.3	7.0	2.0	7.0	5.5
U of CA Meridian 1989	3.5	4.0	1.5	5.0	2.3
U of CA Chico 1989	1.8	1.5	1.0	1.8	1.8
U of CA Tyler Isle 1989	1.8	1.0	1.0	1.5	1.3
U of CA Stratford 1989	1.3	1.8	1.0	1.5	1.0
U of CA Kern Lake 1989	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
U of CA Santa Ynez 1989	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.5	1.0
U of CA El Centro 1990	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.3	2.0
U of CA Davis 1990	3.0	2.0	1,0	3.5	1.0
U of CA Kern Co. 1990	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.8
U of CA Delta 1990	4.3	2.8	1.0	3.5	3.3
U of CA Sutter Co. 1990	4.5	4.5	3.5	5.0	4.0
U of CA Butte Co. 1990	1.5	3.5	1.0	2.5	2.3
Average	2.3	2.1	1.2	2.2	2.4

^{*} Rating of 1-8: 1 = 0-3%; 2 = 4-14%; 3 = 15-29%; 4 = 30-49%; 5 = 50-69%; 6 = 70-84%; 7 = 85-95%; 8 = 96-100%

Table 6 Maturity (50% headed)

		Days to he	ading from	January 1		
		BR5702		BR5738	Baker	Yolo
			Rojo	·		
Sacaton, AZ 1988		97	94	101		
Maricopa, AZ 1989		82	78	82	78	81
U of CA El Centro 1989		78	77	78	76	84
U of CA Davis 1989		99	99	100	100	107
Maricopa, AZ 1990	J	120	119	124	118	124
U of CA El Centro 1990	, i	82	82	85	84	88
U of CA Davis 1990		104	102	105	100	110
Average		95	93	96	93	99
						

Table 7 Maturity (harvest)

			ma	turity fr	om	January 1		
	*	BR5702		Yecora Rojo		BR5738	Baker	Yolo
Sacaton, AZ 1988		166	:	164	 -	170		
Maricopa, AZ 1989		138		135		139	132	143
U of CA El Centro 1989		119	. :	119		115	117	124
U of CA Davis 1989		140		142		153	141	151
Maricopa, AZ 1990		157		154		157	156	163
U of CA El Centro 1990		128		127		126	129	131
U of CA Davis 1990		148		150	· ·	147	148	157
Average		142		141		144	137	146

Table 8 Grain Protein (10 location years)

	Grain p	rotein i	n percent		
	BR5702	Yecora	BR5738	Baker	Yolo
		Rojo			
Sacaton, AZ 1988	12.87	12.80	13.90		
Yuma, AZ 1988	14.28	13.49	14.83		
U of CA El Centro 1989	13.20	13.00	13.30	13.70	11.40
U of CA Davis 1989	14.08	14.56	14.00	14.27	11.88
U of CA Chico 1989	12.69	12.48	13.13	12.32	9.96
U of CA Stratford 1989	14.05	12,77	14.16	13.59	12.60
U of CA Meridian 1989	9.74	10,47	11.28	10.20	9.18
U of CA Tyler Island 1989	13.91	13,20	14.68	14.74	11.58
U of CA Kern Lake 1989	14.36	13.93	14.08	14.48	12.76
Yuma, AZ 1989	15.46	15.16			
Average	13.47	13.19	13.70	13.33	11.34
		The Control of the Co			

Table 9 Quality Analysis of 1989 University of California, Butte C County Common Wheat Trial USDA Western Wheat Quality Laboratory Pullman, Washington

	BR5702	Yecora	BR5738	Baker	Yolo
		Rojo		1000	
Test Weight-Lbs per bu	63.1	63.0	63.0	63.5	63.7
Flour Yield - %	71.8	73,3	71.1	72.3	73.2
Flour Protein - %	10.1	9.4	10.5	9.9	8.3
Mixograph Strength - Type	8 M	8M	8м	8M	3 M
Mixing Time (min)	3.8	3.8	3.4	3.7	1.7
Loaf Volume - CC	910	830	890	855	765
Bread Crumb Grain - Score	3	2	4	2	γυσ
Bake Absorption - %	62.4	61.0	63.7	63.0	59.5
Bake Score	61.3	60.6	62.2	62.1	60.2

Table 10 Qua	lity Evalu	ation (5	location	years	s)					
		Test	Grain	Grain FARINOGRAPH		I	Loaf		Overall	
Variety	Grain	Weight	Protein	Abs.	Peak	Stab	MTI	Volume	Grain	Rating
$c_{ m ompany}$	Source	Lbs/Bu	%					CC		Ŭ
D5738	•			**						
l. Pillsbury	1988 Yuma	62.7	15.00	66.6	ି8.0	17.6	20	(593)		
2. Baystate	1987 Yuma	62.0	13.94	66.8	9.5	21.0	10	3575	good	good
3. Baystate	1988 Sad.	63.5	14.83	65.7	8.0	25.0	20	3325	sl opn	•
4. Baystate	1989 Mar.	62.0	14.40	63.4	8.5	20.0	·	3250	sl opn	-
5. Baystate	1990 Mar.	62.0	15.50	65.1	11.5	25.0		3550	good-	good
Average		62.4	14.73	65.5	9.1	21.7	(17)	3425		
7				•						
Yecora Rojo	•									
 Pillsbury 	1988 Yuma	64.0	12.60	66.1	1.0	2.5	75	(563)		
Baystate	1987 Yuma	63.5	13.49	67.4	7.0	9.5	15	3300	good-	good
3. Baystate	1988 Sac.	64.0	12.54	64.1	10.5	22.0	5	2950	sl opn	_
4. Baystate 🗔	1989 Mar.	64.0	14.80	67.3	12.0	21.5		2950	open	poor+
5. Baystate	1990 Mar.	62.0	15.20	64.5	12.0	25.0		3600+	good	good
Average	En	63251	13.73	6519	8 5	16.1	(31)	3200		

1990	by Califor	nia Wheat	Commission I	aboratory	
	BR5702	Yecora	BR5738	Baker	Yolo
		Rojo			
	13.06	12.86	13.36	13.12	10.84
	62.70	62.80	62.90	62.40	63.50
	43.00	42.13	41.20	41.40	32.79
	68.40	66.60	69.70	71.00	74.10
	29.46	29.25	30.56	30.35	27.05
100	59.40	60.20	59.60	59.40	58.60
	1.50	1.75	1.50	2.00	1.75
	25.50	22.25	14.50	26.00	3.50
	12.00	10.00	6.00	11.00	3.50
	27.00	24.00	16.00	28,00	10.00
	20	20	20	10	30
	9.70	1010	930	875	845
	sl open	close	s1 open	close	close
-	5				
	1990	BR5702 13.06 62.70 43.00 68.40 29.46 59.40 1.50 25.50 12.00 27.00 20 970 sl open	BR5702 Yecora Rojo 13.06 12.86 62.70 62.80 43.00 42.13 68.40 66.60 29.46 29.25 59.40 60.20 1.50 1.75 25.50 22.25 12.00 10.00 27.00 24.00 20 970 1010 s1 open close	BR5702 Yecora BR5738 Rojo 13.06 12.86 13.36 62.70 62.80 62.90 43.00 42.13 41.20 68.40 66.60 69.70 29.46 29.25 30.56 59.40 60.20 59.60 1.50 1.75 1.50 25.50 22.25 14.50 12.00 10.00 6.00 27.00 24.00 16.00 20 20 20 970 1010 930 s1 open close s1 open	Rojo 13.06 12.86 13.36 13.12 62.70 62.80 62.90 62.40 43.00 42.13 41.20 41.40 68.40 66.60 69.70 71.00 29.46 29.25 30.56 30.35 59.40 60.20 59.60 59.40 1.50 1.75 1.50 2.00 25.50 22.25 14.50 26.00 12.00 10.00 6.00 11.00 27.00 24.00 16.00 28.00 20 20 20 10 970 1010 930 875 s1 open close s1 open close